Rcel	1645
------	------

# REQUEST **FOR** CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) **TRANSMITTAL**

Subsection (b) of 35 U.S.C. § 132, effective on May 29, 2000,

\ \		<u> </u>
Application Number	08/753,750	
Filing Date	November 29	, 1996
First Named Inventor	LO et al.	0 0
Group Art Unit	1645	AUG 0 1 2000 C
Examiner Name	N. M. Minnifie	V3 63
Attorney Docket No.	021645.0105	DANEMARK OFF

provides for continued examination of an utility or plant application				ipplication	LAMITHUE	101110	14. 141. 1411111111	2	
filed on or after June 8, 1995. See the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA)			Attorney D	ocket No.	021645.0105	D. TEMARK OFF			
Box RCE Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-captioned application.									
1.	Sul	bmissi	on requ	uired under 37 C.F.	R. § 1.11	4		1	1.10
	a.	X	Previo	ously submitted				#0	13 8/4/10
		i.	X	Consider the amendme  July 3, 2000				previously filed de erred to above w	ill be entered \
		ii.		Consider the argument					
		iii.		Other:	<del></del>				HIME
	b.	X	Enclos	sed					TEC PARTY OF THE P
		i.	X	Amendment/Reply					TECH CENTER 1600/2900
		ii.		Affidavit(s)/Declaration	(s)				1600/2900
		iii.		Information Disclosure	Statement	(including Fo	orm PTO-144		
		iv.	X (	Other: Petition for Fu	ırther Exten	sion of Time	to Two Mon	ths	
2.	Mis	scellan	eous						
	a.			ision of action on the a	•		•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	a.		period o		•		•		.F.R. § 1.103(c) for a hths; Fee under 37 C.F.R.
	a. b.		period o	of	•		•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
3.			period o § 117(i) Other:	of	months.	(Period of sus	spension shall n	ot exceed three mor	nths; Fee under 37 C.F.R.
3.	b.		period o § 117(i) Other: The RC	of	months.	(Period of sus	spension shall n	ot exceed three mor	nths; Fee under 37 C.F.R.
3.	b. Fee	□ es	period of § 117(i) Other: The RC	of required.) CE fee under 37 C.F.R.	months.	(Period of sus required by AMOUNT 345.00	37 C.F.R. §	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00	RCE is filed.  Small Entity \$ 345.00
3.	b. Fee	es X	period of § 117(i) Other: The RC The Fee	of required.)  E fee under 37 C.F.R. es are calculated as fol	months. § 1.17(e) is	(Period of sus	37 C.F.R. §	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 380.00	RCE is filed.  Small Entity  \$ 345.00 \$ 55.00 190.00
3.	b. Fee	es X	period of § 117(i) Other: The RC The Fee	of required.)  E fee under 37 C.F.R.  es are calculated as fol  RCE BASIC FEE	months.  § 1.17(e) is lows:	(Period of sus required by AMOUNT 345.00	One-Month Two-Month Three-Month Four-Month	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 380.00 870.00 1360.00	RCE is filed.    X   Small Entity
3.	b. Fee	es X	period of § 117(i) Other: The RC The Fee	of required.)  E fee under 37 C.F.R.  es are calculated as fol  RCE BASIC FEE	months.  § 1.17(e) is lows:	(Period of sus required by AMOUNT 345.00	One-Month Two-Month Three-Month	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 380.00 870.00	RCE is filed.    X   Small Entity
3.	b. Fee	es X i. ii.	period of § 117(i) Other:  The RC  The Fee  Check i	of required.)  E fee under 37 C.F.R.  es are calculated as fol  RCE BASIC FEE  EXTENSION FEES  OTHER  in the amount of \$480.0	months.  § 1.17(e) is  llows:  \$ \$  \$  00 is enclo	AMOUNT 345.00 135.00 0.00 sed. The C	One-Month Two-Month Three-Month Four-Month Five-Month Ommissioner	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 380.00 870.00 1360.00 1850.00 \$ 0.00	RCE is filed.    X   Small Entity
3.	b. Fee	es i. ii.	The RC  The Fee  Check i variance  0375.	of required.)  E fee under 37 C.F.R.  es are calculated as fol  RCE BASIC FEE  EXTENSION FEES  OTHER  in the amount of \$480.0  e between the amount	§ 1.17(e) is llows: \$ \$  \$  00 is enclosed	(Period of sustance of the content o	One-Month Two-Month Three-Month Four-Month Five-Month ommissioner ent Office ch	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 380.00 870.00 1360.00 1850.00 \$ 0.00 r is hereby authorarges to <b>Depos</b>	**RCE is filed.  **RCE is filed.  **Small Entity*  ** 345.00  ** 55.00  190.00  435.00  680.00  925.00  ** 0.00  prized to charge any sit Account No. 02-
3.	b. Fee	es i. ii.	The RC  The Fee  Check i variance  0375. The Co	of required.)  E fee under 37 C.F.R.  es are calculated as fol  RCE BASIC FEE  EXTENSION FEES  OTHER  in the amount of \$480.0	§ 1.17(e) is llows: \$ \$  \$  00 is encloated enclosed y authorize	(Period of sustrained by AMOUNT 345.00 135.00 sed. The Cand the Pates of the Cand to charge	One-Month Two-Month Three-Month Four-Month Five-Month ommissioner ent Office ch	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 380.00 870.00 1360.00 1850.00 \$ 0.00 r is hereby authorarges to <b>Depos</b>	**RCE is filed.  **RCE is filed.  **Small Entity*  ** 345.00  ** 55.00  190.00  435.00  680.00  925.00  ** 0.00  prized to charge any sit Account No. 02-
	b. Fee a. b.	es X ii.	The RC  The Fee  Check i variance  0375. The Co	of required.)  EE fee under 37 C.F.R.  es are calculated as fol  RCE BASIC FEE  EXTENSION FEES  OTHER  in the amount of \$480.0  e between the amount  commissioner is hereb	§ 1.17(e) is llows: \$ \$  \$  00 is encloated enclosed y authorize	(Period of sustrained by AMOUNT 345.00 135.00 sed. The Cand the Pates of the Cand to charge	One-Month Two-Month Three-Month Four-Month Five-Month ommissioner ent Office ch	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 380.00 870.00 1360.00 1850.00 \$ 0.00 r is hereby authorarges to <b>Depos</b> as indicated at	**RCE is filed.  **RCE is filed.  **Small Entity*  ** 345.00  ** 55.00  190.00  435.00  680.00  925.00  ** 0.00  prized to charge any sit Account No. 02-
SUB	b. Fee a. c.	es X ii.	The RC  The Fee  Check i variance 0375. The Cooverpay	of required.)  EE fee under 37 C.F.R.  es are calculated as fol  RCE BASIC FEE  EXTENSION FEES  OTHER  in the amount of \$480.0  e between the amount  commissioner is hereb	§ 1.17(e) is llows: \$ \$  \$  00 is encloated enclosed y authorize	(Period of sustrained by AMOUNT 345.00 135.00 sed. The Cand the Pates of the Cand to charge	One-Month Two-Month Three-Month Four-Month Five-Month ommissioner ent Office ch	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 380.00 870.00 1360.00 1850.00 \$ 0.00 r is hereby authorarges to <b>Depos</b> as indicated at	RCE is filed.  Small Entity  \$ 345.00 \$ 55.00 190.00 435.00 680.00 925.00 \$ 0.00  orized to charge any sit Account No. 02- bove, or credit any
SUB Type	b. Fee a. c.	es  i. ii.  iii.  ED BY Printed I	The RC  The Fee  Check i variance 0375. The Cooverpay	of required.)  EE fee under 37 C.F.R.  es are calculated as fol RCE BASIC FEE  EXTENSION FEES  OTHER in the amount of \$480.0 e between the amount of ments, to Deposit According to the composit of the compos	§ 1.17(e) is llows: \$ \$  \$  00 is encloated enclosed y authorize	(Period of sustrained by AMOUNT 345.00 135.00 sed. The Cand the Pates of the Cand to charge	One-Month Two-Month Three-Month Four-Month Five-Month ommissioner ent Office ch	1.114 when the  Large Entity \$ 690.00 \$ 110.00 \$80.00 \$70.00 1360.00 \$ 0.00 r is hereby author harges to <b>Depos</b> as indicated at  Complements	RCE is filed.    X   Small Entity

08/0

345.00 OP

PATENT

Attorney Docket: 021645.0105

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Ap	plication of:	)
LO et al.		) Group Art Unit: 1645
Applicat	ion Number: 08/753,750	) Examiner: N. M. Minnifield
Filed:	November 29, 1996	010
For:	TRANSFERRING BINDING PROTEINS OF PASTEURELLA HAEMOLYTICA AND VACCINES CONTAINING SAME	AUG O 1 2000 CG

# SUBMISSION ACCOMPANYING REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

#### **BOX RCE**

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, Applicants are filing this Submission in response to the Office Action, mailed March 1, 2000, and the Advisory Action, mailed July 25, 2000. This Submission augments the Responsive Amendment filed July 3, 2000, and Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the rejections based on this Submission, the arguments raised in the Responsive Amendment, and the amendments contained in the Responsive Amendment, which the Examiner had previously refused to enter and consider.

#### Amendment to the Sequence Listing

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner indicates that "Applicants should show where in Figure 21 the additional nucleotides are found that support fact [sic] that new SEQ 1 was set forth in the originally filed application." Advisory Action, Note. Applicants maintain, however, that this information was provided in the Responsive Amendment.

In the Responsive Amendment, Applicants state that

the nucleic acid sequence encoding SEQ ID NO:2 is provided in the application as originally filed in Figure 21 (the condons [sic] missing from the original Sequence Listing appear on line 36), and SEQ ID NO:1 as shown in the Sequence

Listing enclosed herewith contains nucleotides corresponding to the missing codons. Thus, no new matter is added to the application by replacement of the erroneous sequence in the original Sequence Listing with the correct sequence from Figure 21.

Responsive Amendment, Page 3, Lines 23-26, and Page 4, Lines 1-2 (emphasis added). This CEIVED Applicants believe that the source of the additional nucleotides has been set forth, and Applicants, respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the objections and enter the amendment to the specification.

### Rejections to Claims

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner states that "[t]he new SEQ 1 specific stringency conditions and recitation of 'isolated and purified' [in the amended claims] would require further search and/or consideration." Advisory Action, Note. Nevertheless, in the Advisory Action, the Examiner further states that the "[a]rguments have been previously addressed." and do not place the application in condition for allowance. Applicants maintain that because the arguments raised in the Responsive Amendment find support in the amended claims, the arguments raised in the Responsive Amendment may not be fully considered without entry and full search and consideration of the proposed amendments to the specification. In particular, Applicants' response to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 132 is based on the entry of the amendments to SEQ 1 (with respect to claims 34 and 35) and the proposed amendments to claim 47. Responsive Amendment, Page 4, Lines 15-28.

Applicants maintain that the arguments raised in the Responsive Amendment were not fully considered in view of the refusal to enter, search, and consider the amendments proposed in the Responsive Amendments. Further, in view of the arguments raised in the Responsive Amendment and the request for entry of the refused amendments in this Request for Continued Examination, Applicants maintain that the refused amendments should properly be entered for search and consideration in this application. Therefore, in view of this Submission and the

DC01:269698.1 2

PATENT

Attorney Docket: 021645.0105

Responsive Amendment, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and with draw the outstanding rejections to the pending claims.

# Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that all of the pending claims, as amended in accordance with the Responsive Amendment, are now in condition for allowance, and such disposition is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that prosecution may be furthered by discussing the application, in person or by telephone, with Applicants' representatives, we would welcome the opportunity to do so.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P

James B. Arpin

Registration No. 33,470

Dated: August 1, 2000

Baker Botts L.L.P. The Warner; Suite 1300 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2400 (202) 639-7700 (telephone) (202) 639-7890 (facsimile)

JBA/LHP:nej